

Gutzwiller-Jastrow Wavefunctions for the $1/r$ Hubbard ModelD. F. Wang[†]*Joseph Henry Laboratories of Physics, Princeton University,**Princeton, New Jersey 08544*

Q. F. Zhong

*International School for Advanced Study**Via Beirut 4, 34014 Trieste, Italy*

P. Coleman

*Serin Physics Laboratory, Rutgers University**P. O. Box 849, Piscataway, NJ 08854*

In this work, we study the wavefunctions of the one dimensional $1/r$ Hubbard model in the strong interaction limit $U = \infty$. A set of Gutzwiller-Jastrow wavefunctions are shown to be eigen-functions of the Hamiltonian. The entire excitation spectrum and the thermodynamics are also studied in terms of more generalized Jastrow wavefunctions. For the wavefunctions and integrability conditions at finite on-site energy, further investigations are needed.

PACS number: 71.30.+h, 05.30.-d, 74.65+n, 75.10.Jm

There have been considerable interests in the study of low dimensional integrable models [1–16]. One of them is the Hubbard model with $1/r$ hopping and U on-site energy, introduced by Gebhard and Ruckenstein [9]. In this work we report some new results in our recent study of the system.

The long range Hubbard model is exactly solvable in one dimension for arbitrary on-site energy [9]. Based on small size numerical work and several limiting cases, Gebhard and Ruckenstein have introduced an effective Hamiltonian, which was conjectured to be equivalent in describing the Hubbard model for arbitrary on-site energy. With the effective Hamiltonian, the system has been found to exhibit a metal-insulator transition when the bandwidth t is equal to the on-site energy U in the half filling case, and the Gutzwiller state is an eigen-state of the effective Hamiltonian in the large U limit. The complete wavefunctions and the integrability conditions have remained unsolved for a long time.

In this primary report, we only concentrate on the study of the wavefunctions and the spectrum in the strong interaction limit $U = \infty$. In this extreme limit, one unfortunate artifact is that the spins are completely free and decoupled trivially from the charge degrees of freedom. We introduce a set of generalized Gutzwiller-Jastrow wavefunctions, and we show that they are exact eigen-functions of the Hamiltonian. The lowest energy state in this set is also a ground state of the system in the whole Hilbert space. Considering more generalized Jastrow wavefunctions, we are able to write the full spectrum. The separation of spin and charge in the full excitation spectrum shows that the system is a special example of Luttinger liquids in the sense of Haldane.

The Hamiltonian for the one-dimensional Hubbard model is given by

$$H = \sum_{i \neq j; \sigma=\uparrow, \downarrow} t_{ij} c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma} + U \sum_i n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow}, \quad (1)$$

where $c_{i\sigma}^\dagger$ and $c_{i\sigma}$ are creation and annihilation operators at site i with spin component σ . We take $t_{ij} = it(-1)^{(i-j)}/d(i-j)$ where $d(n) = \frac{L}{\pi} \sin(n\pi/L)$ is the chord distance [9]. Here we assume periodic boundary condition for the wavefunctions for odd L , or anti-periodic boundary condition for even L .

In the strong interaction limit $U = \infty$, each site can be occupied by at most one electron. In this limit, the Hamiltonian can be written in terms of the Hubbard operator as follows:

$$H = \sum_{i \neq j; \sigma=\uparrow, \downarrow} t_{ij} X_i^{\sigma 0} X_j^{0 \sigma}. \quad (2)$$

In the following, where-ever in case of the strong interaction, we always implicitly assume no double occupancy. Let us denote the number of holes by Q , the number of down-spins by M . Following notations used in previous literatures, the state vectors can be represented by creating spin and charge excitations from the fully polarized up-spin state $|P\rangle$ [10],

$$|\Phi\rangle = \sum_{\alpha, j} \Phi(\{x_\alpha\}, \{y_j\}) \prod_{\alpha} b_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \prod_j h_j^{\dagger} |P\rangle, \quad (3)$$

where $b_{\alpha}^{\dagger} = c_{\alpha\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha\uparrow}$ is the operator to create a down-spin at site α , and $h_j^{\dagger} = c_{j\uparrow}$ creates a hole at site j . The amplitude $\Phi(\{x_\alpha\}, \{y_j\})$ is symmetric in the down-spin positions, and antisymmetric in hole positions.

To describe uniform motion and magnetization, we consider the following generalized Gutzwiller-Jastrow wavefunctions,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x, y; J_s, J_h) &= \exp \frac{2\pi i}{L} (J_s \sum_{\alpha} x_{\alpha} + J_h \sum_i y_i) \times \Phi_0 \\ \Phi_0 &= \prod_{\alpha < \beta} d^2(x_{\alpha} - x_{\beta}) \cdot \prod_{\alpha i} d(x_{\alpha} - y_i) \cdot \prod_{i < j} d(y_i - y_j), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where the quantum numbers J_s and J_h govern the momenta of the down-spins and holes, respectively. They can be integers or half integers so that we have appropriate periodicities (or anti-periodicities) for the wavefunctions under the translations $x_{\alpha} \rightarrow x_{\alpha} + L$, or $y_i \rightarrow y_i + L$ for odd L (or even L). For the wavefunctions to be exact eigen-functions of the Hamiltonian, the momenta of down-spins and holes must take values from some restricted regions, which will be specified below.

These Gutzwiller-Jastrow wavefunctions have been studied extensively in the recent study of the integrable models of long range interaction [6,7,10–12]. They were found to be the exact eigen-functions of those systems. In the following, we demonstrate that they are also the exact solutions of the Hubbard model. To show that they are eigen-functions of the Hamiltonian, we have to treat the hopping operator very carefully.

The hopping operator can be broken up into two parts, the up-spin hopping operator and the down-spin hopping operator. For the up-spin hopping operator $T_{\uparrow} = \sum_{i \neq j} t_{ij} c_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{j\uparrow}$, its effect is just the hopping of holes alone when it operates on the wavefunctions. But the down-spin hopping operator, $T_{\downarrow} = \sum_{i \neq j} t_{ij} c_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{j\downarrow}$, will involve the hopping of down-spins and holes simultaneously, which needs to be treated using the spin-rotated version of the Gutzwiller-Jastrow wavefunctions, developed in the recent work on the supersymmetric t-J model [12].

For the up-spin hopping, we have

$$\frac{T_{\uparrow} \Phi(x, y; J_s, J_h)}{\Phi(x, y; J_s, J_h)} = -it \sum_i \sum_{n=1}^{L-1} \frac{(-1)^n}{d(n)} z^{nJ_h} \prod_{j(\neq i)} F_{ij}(n) \prod_{\alpha} F_{i\alpha}(n) \quad (5)$$

where $z = \exp \frac{2\pi i}{L}$, $F_{ij}(n) = \cos(\pi n/L) + \sin(\pi n/L) \cot \theta_{ij}$, $F_{i\alpha}(n) = \cos(\pi n/L) + \sin(\pi n/L) \cot \theta_{i\alpha}$, with $\theta_{ij} = \pi(y_i - y_j)/L$, $\theta_{i\alpha} = \pi(y_i - x_{\alpha})/L$. The sum can be calculated in a standard way, by expanding the products and classifying the terms by the number of particles involved. The terms with more than two particles vanish, yielding the following result,

$$\frac{T_{\uparrow} \Phi(x, y; J_s, J_h)}{\Phi(x, y; J_s, J_h)} = -(2\pi t/L) J_h Q + (2\pi t/L) i \sum_{\alpha, i} \cot \theta_{i\alpha} \quad (6)$$

where the hole momenta satisfy the condition $|J_h| \leq L/2 - (M + Q)/2$.

To deal with the down-spin hopping operators, using the spin rotated version of the Gutzwiller-Jastrow wavefunctions, we find

$$\frac{T_{\downarrow} \Phi(x, y; J_s, J_h)}{\Phi(x, y; J_s, J_h)} = -it \sum_i \sum_{n=1}^{L-1} \frac{(-1)^n}{d(n)} z^{n\tilde{J}_h} \prod_{j(\neq i)} F_{ij}(n) \prod_{\mu} F_{i\mu}(n) \quad (7)$$

where $F_{i\mu}(n) = \cos(\pi n/L) + \sin(\pi n/L) \cot \theta_{i\mu}$, $\tilde{J}_h = J_h - J_s + L/2$, $\theta_{i\mu} = \pi(y_i - u_{\mu})/L$, for $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, L - M - Q$. Here $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{L-M-Q}$ are the positions of the up-spins on the lattice. Finally, we obtain

$$\frac{T_{\downarrow} \Phi(x, y; J_h, J_s)}{\Phi(x, y; J_h, J_s)} = -(2\pi t/L) \tilde{J}_h Q + (2\pi t/L) i \sum_{\mu, i} \cot \theta_{i\mu}. \quad (8)$$

Here $|\tilde{J}_h| \leq L/2 - (\tilde{M} + Q)/2$, $\tilde{M} = L - Q - M$.

After adding the spin-up and spin-down hopping operator effects together, the two particle terms vanish due to the fact that the down-spin electrons, the up-spin electrons and the holes span the entire lattice. As a result, we see that the Gutzwiller-Jastrow wavefunctions are eigenstates of the Hamiltonian, with eigen-energies given by

$$E(J_s, J_h) = -(2\pi t/L)[2J_h - J_s + L/2]Q. \quad (9)$$

If we take t to be positive, the lowest energy in this set of Jastrow wavefunctions is obtained when J_h and $\tilde{J}_h$ reach their allowed maximum possible values, which occurs at $J_h = L/2 - (M + Q)/2$ and $J_s = L - M - Q/2$. Thus the dependence of ground state energy on the number of holes Q is given by:

$$E_0 = -(2\pi t/L)[L/2 - Q/2]Q. \quad (10)$$

This energy is also the absolute ground state energy of the system in the whole Hilbert space, as indicated by our numerical results of 6 site and 8 site lattices. For this ground state wavefunction, the exponents of the long distance behaviors of various correlators can be obtained from the recent work by Kawakami. In general, the ground state is not unique, and this Jastrow wavefunction ground state is only one of them. The ground state degeneracy is given by $(M + \tilde{M})!/(M!\tilde{M}!)$.

As seen above, the energy consists of decoupled down-spin contribution and the hole contribution. In principle, we may find more generalized Jastrow wavefunctions as eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian. As will be seen below, in our case, we may even write the entire spectrum of the system in the full Hilbert space in terms of a set of Jastrow functions.

To study other excitations, let us consider more generalized Jastrow wavefunctions in the following form

$$\phi = \phi_s(X, Y)\phi_h(Y) \times \Phi_0. \quad (11)$$

Here the functions ϕ_s and ϕ_h are polynomials of $X = \{\exp(2\pi i x_\alpha/L)\}$, $Y = \{\exp(2\pi i y_i/L)\}$. They are totally symmetric in their arguments, respectively. The degrees of these polynomi-

als must satisfy some specific conditions, so that many particle terms vanish when applying the hopping operators. The eigen-energy equation thus reduces to

$$-(2\pi t/L)[\sum_{i=1}^Q \partial_i(\phi_s \phi_h) + \sum_{i=1}^Q \phi_s(\partial_i + L/2)\phi_h] = E\phi_s \phi_h, \quad (12)$$

where $\partial_i = Y_i \partial / \partial Y_i$. This eigen-value equation can be solved exactly, yielding the spectrum given by

$$E = -(2\pi t/L)[\sum_{i=1}^Q n_i + \sum_{\mu=1}^Q m_\mu]. \quad (13)$$

Here, the integers (or half integers) satisfy the conditions $|n_i| \leq L/2 - (\tilde{M} + Q)/2$, $|m_\mu| \leq L/2 - (M + Q)/2$, where $n_i \leq n_{i+1}$ and $m_\mu \leq m_{\mu+1}$. This result shows that the spectrum is invariant when changing the sign of t .

We may write the spectrum in terms of a set of conjugate quantum numbers defined by $K_i = n_i + m_i + (L - Q)/2 + i$. The spectrum is given by

$$E = -(2\pi t/L) \sum_{i=1}^Q K_i + (\pi t Q/L)(L + 1), \quad (14)$$

where K_i takes values from $(1, 2, \dots, L)$. They may be regarded as the momenta of quasi-particles “holons”. Our numerical results of 6 site and 8 site lattices indicate that the above spectrum spans the full spectrum in the entire Hilbert space.

In the spectrum formula, each energy level is determined by a charge configuration, such as (101010) for $L = 6$, $Q = 3$, where the 1’s represent the charge momenta. We may regard the empty values as the momenta for the quasi-particles of spin degrees. Our numerical result shows that the degeneracy of each energy level is given by the number of the ways to distribute $L - Q - M$ spins $s = +\frac{1}{2}$ and M spins $s = -\frac{1}{2}$ among the empty values. Thus we see that the spin degree is decoupled from the charge degree of freedom for the entire excitation spectrum.

For fixed number of electrons $N_e = L - Q$ on the lattice, the free energy consists of two parts, $F = F_1 - TN_e \ln 2$, where the second term comes from the decoupled spin degrees of freedom, and F_1 is the contribution from the charge degree of freedom, which is that

of Q spinless fermions with the relativistic spectrum. In the grand canonical case [17–19], denoting the chemical potential of the electrons by μ , we find that the free energy per lattice site is given by

$$F(T, \mu)/L = -\mu - \frac{T}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} dq \ln[2 + e^{\beta(qt - \mu)}]. \quad (15)$$

Here the number of electrons as a function of the chemical potential is given by $N_e = -\frac{\partial F(T, \mu)}{\partial \mu}$. We have also checked that the free energy correctly reproduces the first three terms in the high temperature perturbation expansion.

We have seen that the spin degrees of freedom decouple from the charge degrees of freedom for this system in the full excitations in the strong interaction limit. One similar system has been studied by one of the authors. He has introduced another integrable model, the multi-component Hubbard model of $1/r$ hopping and U on-site energy, which is the direct generalization of the model to the $SU(N)$ case, where a set of generalized $SU(N)$ Gutzwiller-Jastrow wavefunctions has been shown to be exact eigen-functions of the Hamiltonian in the strong interaction limit [13].

In summary, we have studied the wavefunctions of the one dimensional $1/r$ Hubbard model in the limit of strong interaction $U = \infty$. We have shown that a set of Gutzwiller-Jastrow wavefunctions are the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian, and the lowest energy in this set is the ground state energy in the whole Hilbert space. The full spectrum can be written in terms of more generalized Jastrow wavefunctions. The spin-charge separation occurs in the full excitation spectrum, and the system may be regarded as a special Luttinger liquid.

Finally, we would like to stress that the model is completely integrable for arbitrary on-site energy U [9]. The most interesting thing is to investigate the wavefunctions and the integrability conditions for this $1/r$ Hubbard model at finite on-site energy U . It would be also of great interest to study the ground state properties, such as the spin and charge susceptibilities, and various ground state correlation exponents dependence on the on-site energy U . For these finite on-site energy studies, further investigations are needed.

This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant NSF-

DMR-89-13692.

† Address after September 1993: Institut de physique théorique, École Polytechnique
Fédérale de Lausanne, PHB-Ecublens, CH-1015 Lausanne-Switzerland.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Arovas and S. M. Girvin, Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Recent Progress in Many Body Theory, Minneapolis, 1991. A. Auerbach, D. Arovas and D. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 531 (1988). C. S. Hellberg and E. J. Mele, Phys. Rev. B **44**, 1360 (1991), Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 2080 (1991). *Lecture Notes in Physics*, Vol. 242, eds. B. S. Shastry et al. (Spring-Verlag, 1985) p. 1.
- [2] F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 635 (1988). F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 1529 (1991).
- [3] S. Shastry, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 639 (1988).
- [4] A. P. Polychronakos, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 703 (1992), Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 2329 (1993).
- [5] M. Fowler and J. A. Minahan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 2325 (1992).
- [6] Y. Kuramoto and H. Yokoyama, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 1338 (1991).
- [7] N. Kawakami, Yukawa Institute preprint YITP/K-953 (1991). N. Kawakami and S. K. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 2493 (1991). N. Kawakami, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 7525 (1992), Max-Planck Institute preprint (1992).
- [8] B. Sutherland, Phys. Rev. A **5**, 1372 (1972), Phys. Rev. A **4**, 2019 (1971), J. Math. Phys. **12**, 251 (1971), J. Math. Phys. **12**, 246 (1971).
- [9] F. Gebhard and A. E. Ruckenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 244 (1992).
- [10] P. W. Anderson, B. S. Shastry and D. Hristopoulos, Phys. Rev. B **40**, 8939 (1989).
- [11] Z. N. C. Ha and F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. **B 46**, 9359 (1992).
- [12] D. F. Wang, James T. Liu, P. Coleman, Phys. Rev. **B 46**, 4663, 1992.
- [13] D. F. Wang, Princeton University preprint, (to be published).
- [14] Bill Sutherland and B. Sriram Shastry, Utah-AT& T preprint (1993).

- [15] B. Sriram Shastry and B. Sutherland, AT&T-Utah preprint (1993).
- [16] Holger Frahm, Hannover University preprint (1993).
- [17] C. N. Yang and C. P. Yang, J. Math. Phys. **10**, 1115 (1969).
- [18] M. Takahashi, Prog. Theor. Phys. **46**, 401 (1971).
- [19] H. M. Babudjan, Nucl. Phys. **B215** [FS7], 317 (1983).